School Plan

SALEM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 313 HWY 62E SUITE 4, SALEM, AR 72576

Arkansas Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

2012-2013

It is the mission of the Salem Elementary School to educate all students in a safe environment. Our school will provide a challenging curriculum promoting higher-order thinking skills, technology skills, and problem-solving abilities through relevant and engaging activities. We will work with the community to provide the experiences necessary for all students to become responsible citizens, and ensure each child fairness, equality, and access.

Grade Span: K-6 Title I: Title I Schoolwide School Improvement: MS

Table of Contents

Priority 1: Literacy

Goal: All students will improve in literacy skills, especially in all three strands of Reading (Literary, Content, and Practical), in both strands of Writing (Content and Style), and in Reading Comprehension.

Priority 2: Mathematics

Goal: All students will improve mathematic skills in the area of Measurement, on both multiple-choice and open-response items; all students will improve skills in problem solving in all areas of mathematics.

Priority 3: Wellness

Goal: The district will provide educational opportunities for students in making healthy lifestyle choices by implementing activities to aid in decreasing the average BMI on the annual student screening.

Priority 1: All students will improve literacy skills.

domains.

1. 2012 DATA INDICATES THAT SALEM STUDENTS SCORED LOWER IN THE PRACTICAL AND LITERARY STRANDS OF READING ON THE MULTIPLE-CHOICE AND OPEN-RESPONSE ITEMS. THIS INCLUDES THE COMBINED POPULATION AND THE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES. ALL SALEM TEACHERS, IN THE REGULAR CLASSROOMS AND SPECIAL EDUCATION CLASSROOMS, WILL BE LOOKING AT THOSE TYPES OF QUESTIONS DURING GRADE LEVEL MEETINGS TO SEE WHAT PART OF OUR CURRICULUM NEEDS TO BE ADJUSTED. 2012 RESULTS CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE NEED FOR EQUAL EMPASIS ON THE CONTENT AND STYLE DOMAINS OF WRITING. TEACHERS WILL CONTINUE TO EMPHASIZE CONTENT AND STYLE DURING WRITING INSTRUCTION. In 2010, 88% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 83% of the economically disadvantaged students, 17% of the students with disabilities, and 90% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the combined population were the Writing-Style & Content domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Writing-Style & Content domains. In 2011, 90% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 85% of the economically disadvantaged students, 66% of the students with disabilities, and 89% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the combined population were the Writing-Style & Content domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Writing-Style & Content

domains. In 2012, 91% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 88% of the economically disadvantaged students, 55% of the students with disabilities, and 90% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the combined population were the Writing-Style & Content domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Writing-Style & Content

Supporting Data:

- 2. In 2010, 88% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks, 83% of the economically disadvantaged students, 40% of the students with disabilities, and 89% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Style domain. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items. In 2011, 82% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 75% of the economically disadvantaged students, 14% of the students with disabilities, and 83% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiplechoice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items. In 2012, 91% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 85% of the economically disadvantaged students, 43% of the students with disabilities, and 92% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiplechoice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items.
- 3. In 2010, 92% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 94% of the economically disadvantaged students, 84% of the students with disabilities, and 94% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content domain. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities was the Content domain. In 2011, 95% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 95% of the economically disadvantaged students, 84% of the students with disabilities, and 96% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities was the Content domain. In 2012, 93% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 89% of the economically disadvantaged students, 66% of the students with disabilities, and 93% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiplechoice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Content multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities was the Content domain.
- 4. In 2010, 82% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 79% of the economically disadvantaged students, 25% of the students with disabilities, and 84% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Style domain. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items. In 2011, 87% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 80% of the economically disadvantaged students, 57% of the students with disabilities, and 88% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Literary multiple-choice items and the Reading-Content open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and

the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items. In 2012, 91% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the literacy portion of the Benchmarks. 93% of the economically disadvantaged students, 28% of the students with disabilities, and 91% of the Caucasian students scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest areas in reading for the combined population were the Reading-Literary multiplechoice items and the Reading-Practical open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the combined population was the Content and Style domains. The lowest areas in reading for the students with disabilities were the Reading-Practical multiple-choice items and the Reading-Literary open-response items. In writing, the lowest area for the students with disabilities were the multiple-choice items.

- 5. In 2010, 75% of the combined population of kindergarten students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Sounds & Print. 73% of the Caucasian population, 75% of the students with disabilities, and 71% of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above the 50th percentile. The lowest Cluster average was in the Identification Cluster, averaging 78%. In 2011, 75% of the combined population of kindergarten students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Sounds & Print. 73% of the Caucasian population, 75% of the students with disabilities, and 71% of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above the 50th percentile. The lowest Cluster average was in the Identification Cluster, averaging 78%. In 2012, Kindergarten did not test.
- 6. In 2010, 67% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 65% of the Caucasian students, 55% of the free/reduced students, and 48% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area of concern was in the Explicit Sequence, Actions Cluster. In 2011, 67% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 65% of the Caucasian students, 55% of the free/reduced students, and 48% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area of concern was in the Explicit Sequence, Actions Cluster. In 2012, 75.9% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 77.1% of the Caucasian students, 68.3% of the free/reduced students, and 0% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area of concern was in the Explicit Sequence, Actions Cluster.
- 7. In 2010, 53% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 51% of the Caucasian students, 48% of the free/reduced students, and 0% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. A low area of concern was the Using Monitoring Strategies Cluster. In 2011, 53% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 51% of the Caucasian students, 48% of the free/reduced students, and 0% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. A low area of concern was the Using Monitoring Strategies Cluster. In 2012, 78.2% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Reading Comprehension. 75.5% of the Caucasian students, 70.3% of the free/reduced students, and 0% of the students with IEP's scored at/above the 50th percentile. A low area of concern was the Using Monitoring Strategies Cluster.
- 8. The 2010 Arkansas Adequate Yearly Progress Report identifies our attendance rate to meet the attendance goal identified by the 2010 School Improvement Report. The 2011 Arkansas Adequate Yearly Progress Report identifies our attendance rate to meet the attendance goal identified by the 2011 School Improvement Report. The 2012 Arkansas Annual Measurable Objective Report identifies our attendance rate to meet the attendance goal identified by the 2012 School Improvement Report.

All students will improve in literacy skills, especially in all three strands of Reading (Literary, Content, Goal and Practical), in both strands of Writing (Content and Style), and in Reading Comprehension.

> To meet the state AMO requirement annually with a goal of a 1/2% increase in the total number of proficient/advanced students. 2007-2010 Combined Population: 84.8 African-American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: 85.7 Econ. Dis.: 81.2 LEP: NA Stu. w. Dis.: NA 2008-2011 Combined Population: 87.8

Benchmark African-American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: 88.8 Econ. Dis.: 84.1 LEP: NA Stu. w. Dis.: NA 2009-2012 Combined Population: African-American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: Econ. Dis.: LEP: NA Stu. w. Dis.: NA

Intervention: ALIGNMENT of the literacy curriculum to the Arkansas Frameworks and Common Core State Standards Scientific Based Research: Heidi Hayes Jacobs (2004). Getting Results with Curriculum Mapping, 1-181. Heidi Hayes Jacobs (1997). Mapping the Big Picture, 1-5. Person Actions Timeline Resources Source of Funds Responsible Teachers will be provided opportunities for Corey Start: Outside 07/01/2012 staff development on the mapping and Johnson ACTION BUDGET: Consultants alignment process. End: Action Type: Professional Development Teachers 06/30/2013

1/16/2013 3:09 PM 3 of 27

		1			
Each classroom teacher will identify the skills being taught in his/her literacy curriculum throughout the school year. The teacher will use a checklist to assist in this process. Action Type: Alignment	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersOutside ConsultantsTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$	
Special education teachers and regular classroom teachers will work together to align literacy curriculum for appropriate modifications in the special education classroom. Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Patty Neal and Judy Rose	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$	
Teachers will have grade level meetings (horizontal meetings) to compare and contrast the mapping process, looking at the timeline of instruction and the methods being employed by each teacher to cover the skills. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Computers Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$	
The staff will participate in vertical meetings to discuss the mapping process across grade levels. Timeline of covering standards and methods being used will be the priorities of these meetings. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Computers Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$	
Each year, the status of the alignment process will be evaluated by the administration to determine the next course of action for each building. The Benchmark scores and ITBS scores will also be processed each year to identify areas of weakness. Common planning periods make it possible for teachers to monitor and adjust on a daily basis. 2012 results on the Federal Programs Inventory from the teachers indicate that 92% of the Salem Elementary teachers feel our literacy curriculum is properly aligned. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$	
The district will purchase Common Core Coach by Triumph Learning for all students in grades 3-6 to assist in implementation of the Common Core State Standards in English language arts.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Performance AssessmentsTeachers	Title I - Materials & \$4500.00 & Supplies:	
				ACTION BUDGET: \$4500	
Total Budget:				\$4500	
Intervention: Continued support and implementation of Effective Literacy.					

Intervention: Continued support and implementation of Effective Literacy.

Scientific Based Research: Carol A. Lyons and Gay Su Pinnell (2001). System for Change in Literacy Education: A Guide to Professional Development, 11-21. Richard Allington (1996). Schools That Work, 148-172.

IACTIONS	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Appropriate grade level teachers will receive professional development in Effective Literacy to improve literacy skills. Teachers already trained will attend any recalibration trainings that are offered. Action Type: Professional Development		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Staff	ACTION \$BUDGET:

Appropriate grade level teachers will implement Effective Literacy in the classroom in order to determine the literacy skills of the students and identify any one who might need remediation in literacy.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION \$ BUDGET:
Teachers will regularly evaluate the impact of the Effective Literacy program on the development of literacy skills. ITBS scores from the 2nd, 3rd and 4th grades will be looked at each year in addition to the percent of teachers currently using those stratagies to determine the effectiveness of instruction at that level. This will be a baseline year for that data. Currently, 1 new staff member will begin training during the 2011-2012 school year. This teacher will continue with year 2 in the 2012-2013 school year. Classroom observations in coordination with the edcuational cooperative will be done to ensure the trainee is using the strategies of effective literacy. Action Type: Program Evaluation		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0

Intervention: Accelerated Reader Program.

Scientific Based Research: Renaissance Learning Inc. (2002, March). Summary of Research, 1-56. Magnolia Consulting. (2010). A final report for the evaluation of Renaissance Learning's Accelerated Reader program. Charlottesville, VA: Author.

Charlottesville, VA: Author.				
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
COORDINATION OF FUNDS Teachers will use the Accelerated Reader Program, which uses computer-based testing of library books, to improve literacy skills. The AR program and other technology interventions will be purchased in the 2012-2013 school year. In grades K-6, iPads, cases, and charging stations will be purchased to support the Accelerated Reader Program. 30 iPads with cases and 9 charging treys will be purchased to enhance the Accelerated Reader Program. Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff Central Office School Library Teachers	Title I - Materials & \$28503.00 Supplies: ACTION BUDGET: \$28503
Teachers will give a pretest and a posttest using Star Reading to assess reading levels of all students. This data will also be shared with parents during Parent/Teacher Conferences to chart student growth. Pretests will be administered during September for grades 2-6. K-1 will administer the pretest in January. Posttests will be given during the month of April. Action Type: Equity Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Computers School Library Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
All students will use the AR program and will take assessments on the books they read throughout the year. Reading logs or checklists will be kept by all students and teachers will check the status of the class on a daily basis. The levels of the students will be monitored and adjusted by the classroom teachers. Logs/checklists for special education students will be monitored by the resource teachers. Books will be purchased each year to update the fiction	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Computers School Library Teachers 	Title I - Materials & \$9000.00 Supplies: ACTION BUDGET: \$9000

and nonfiction selections for students. Action Type: Equity Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Technology Inclusion				
The AR program will be evaluated by the literacy committee for effectiveness each year to determine how to maximize its use in each grade level. Test score data will also be examined each year to look at growth of literacy skills. Student growth will be measured by comparing the preand post- STAR tests. During the 2009-2010 school year, our students passed 67,881 quizzes and averaged 87.8% on each quiz. Students gained an average of 1.18 in grade equivalency and 12.52 percentile points according to Star Reading results. 100% of our classroom teachers are using the program, including the two resource classrooms. 2010 FPI teacher ratings rated the AR Program as a 4.6 out 5 in terms of effectiveness. During the 2010-2011 school year, our students passed 66,276 quizzes and averaged 87.1% on each quiz. Students gained an average of 1.27 in grade equivalency and 12.88 percentile points according to Star Reading results. 100% of our classroom teachers are using the program, including the two resource classrooms. 2010 FPI teacher ratings rated the AR Program as a 4.6 out 5 in terms of effectiveness. During the 2011-2012 school year, our students passed 67,476 quizzes and averaged 87.1% on each quiz. Students gained an average of 1.27 in grade equivalency and 13.88 percentile points according to Star Reading results. 100% of our classroom teachers are using the program, including the two resource classrooms. 2012 FPI teacher ratings rated the AR Program as a 4.7 out 5 in terms of effectiveness. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Parent volunteers will be encouraged to assist any students having difficulties in reading the AR books and assist them on the computer assessments. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffComputersTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
A list of AR books and levels of books will be provided to the local public library to support and encourage reading during the summer. Action Type: Collaboration		07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Public Library School Library	ACTION BUDGET: \$
As an incentive to students, a millionaires' word wall will be created. Students reaching certain grade level goals in terms of words read will be rewarded and recognized as members of the millionaire club. The students' pictures will be taken, printed off on a money template, and displayed on the millionaire's wall. In 2011-2012, students read 242,451,844 words. There were 124 millionaires, 4 students reached five million, and 1 student reached six million. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Vicki Ragan	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersSchool LibraryTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$

Technology supplies will be purchased to support all instructional programs. Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Shaun Windsor	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Computers	NSLA (State-281) - Materials & Supplies:
				ACTION \$8400
The librarian will open the library in the summer to promote students to continue to read throughout the summer. The librarian will be paid \$30 per hour.	Vicki Ragan	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff School Library	Title I - Employee \$840.00 Salaries:
Action Type: Parental Engagement			• Teachers	Employee \$200.00 Benefits:
				ACTION \$1040
Students take AR tests on the computers. Tech support for software related to the program will also be purchased each year - Destiny and Star Reading, Star Math	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		Title I - Purchased \$4500.00 Services:
and Accelerated Reader. Action Type: Technology Inclusion				ACTION BUDGET: \$4500
Earbuds and Headphones will be purchased for each student, K-6, to enhance the Accelerated Reader Program. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		Title I - Materials & \$2000.00 Supplies:
				ACTION \$2000
The district will purchase ethernet switches to increase network capacity for wireless networking and devices. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Shaun Windsor	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		Title I - Materials & \$3000.00 Supplies:
Action Type: Technology micrusion		06/30/2013		Title I - Capital \$3000.00 Outlay:
				ACTION \$6000
Teachers will use the Accelerated Reader Program, which uses computer-based testing of library books, to improve literacy skills. The AR program and other	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		Title I - Materials & \$12000.00 Supplies:
technology interventions will be purchased in the 2012-2013 school year. In grades K-6, 15 desktop computers will be purchased to enhance the Accelerated Reader Program.				ACTION BUDGET: \$12000
Action Type: Technology Inclusion				
Total Budget:				\$71443

Intervention: Classroom Size Reduction.

Scientific Based Research: American Educational Research Association (Fall, 2003). Class Size: Counting Students Can Count, 1-4. Glen E. Robinson (1990, April). Synthesis of Research on the Effects of Class Size. Educational Leadership, 80-90.

Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
The impact of CSR on literacy skills in	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Staff	ACTION BUDGET: \$

classroom reduction will be 16.1 to 1. If the funds were not used, the ratio would have been 19.1 to 1. Action Type: Equity The grade level placement of CSR teachers will be based upon enrollment at the beginning of the school year. Teacher input and data from several sources will be used to divide the students up into equitable classes. Action Type: Equity HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS All teachers on staff will be highly qualified and certified in the fields in which they are teaching. Newspapers and online postings will be used to fill any vacancies with highly qualified applicants. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide Total Budget: Corey Johnson 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013 Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013 ACTION BUDGET: \$ Central Office \$ Administrative Staff • Central Office \$ Central Office Total Budget: \$158459	indicators that will be assessed each year. K MAT 8, 1-2 SAT 10, and 3-6 Benchmark scores will be the test data analyzed each year, depending upon the placement of the teachers. As of 2009, Title I funds will no longer be used for classroom reduction. However, on the 2012 FPI (Federal Programs Inventory), Salem teachers rated classroom reduction as a 4.6 out of 5 in terms of importance for our educational program and success. 2-A funds will still be used as long as permissible. Action Type: Program Evaluation COORDINATION OF FUNDS Students will be placed in smaller classes in grades K-6 in order to improve instruction in literacy. 1 teacher's salary (1 FTE) Devon Edwards will be paid with Title II-A and 2 teacher's salaries, Lynn Maguffee and Lindsey Wiseman, at 1 FTE each will be paid with NSLA funds in 2012-2013. Efforts will be made to make sure that classes are equitable when being divided into groups and that all students are treated equally and fairly at Salem Elementary School in order to prevent any kind of discrimination. The student to teacher ratio in the grade levels using		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Teachers 	Title II-A - Employee \$43750.00 Salaries: Title II-A - Employee \$2105.00 Benefits: NSLA (State-281) - Employee Benefits: NSLA ((State-281) - Employee Salaries: \$89500.00
The grade level placement of CSR teachers will be based upon enrollment at the beginning of the school year. Teacher input and data from several sources will be used to divide the students up into equitable classes. Action Type: Equity HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS All teachers on staff will be highly qualified and certified in the fields in which they are teaching. Newspapers and online postings will be used to fill any vacancies with highly qualified applicants. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide Corey Johnson 07/01/2012 End: 07/01/2013 Start: 07/01/2013 • Administrative Staff • Administrative Staff • Central Office ACTION BUDGET: \$ ACTION BUDGET: \$	the funds were not used, the ratio would have been 19.1 to 1.				1 \$158/150 I
teachers on staff will be highly qualified and certified in the fields in which they are teaching. Newspapers and online postings will be used to fill any vacancies with highly qualified applicants. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide O7/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013 • Administrative Staff • Central Office	The grade level placement of CSR teachers will be based upon enrollment at the beginning of the school year. Teacher input and data from several sources will be used to divide the students up into equitable classes.	_	07/01/2012 End:	Staff	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Total Budget: \$158459	HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS All teachers on staff will be highly qualified and certified in the fields in which they are teaching. Newspapers and online postings will be used to fill any vacancies with highly qualified applicants.	Ken Rich	07/01/2012 End:	Staff	ACTION BUDGET: \$
	Total Budget:				\$158459

Intervention: To improve instruction in literacy with an emphasis on open-response questions in literacy for all students in every grade level.

Scientific Based Research: Doug Reeves (2004). Accountability in Action, 185-208. Doug Reeves (1998). Making Standards Work, 33-40.

Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT Teachers will receive training in open-response question development and scoring. Specialists from the educational service center will provide training opportunites each year. Professional development related to six hours of technology, two hours of Arkansas History, and two hours of Physical Fitness will also be provided by the educational service center or by		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Outside Consultants Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$

the school. The building principal will also receive the additional professional development for administrators as mandated by the ADE. Action Type: Professional Development Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide Teachers will collect and assess open-responses from students and adjust instruction as needed. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
All classroom teachers and special education teachers will use open-response questions in literacy instruction, evaluate progress, and adjust instruction as needed. Materials and supplies will be purchased to supplement the literacy curriculum throughout the school year. Technology will be purchased to aid in literacy instruction. Teachers will also have the option of virtual field trips to improve student vocabulary and prior knowledge. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Technology Inclusion		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	District Staff Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
REMEDIATION Regular classroom teachers will be responsible for remediating students who are not on grade level in reading and writing. Test scores and other criteria determined by the teacher will identify students to be remediated. Special Education teachers will also have input for students in their program. Remediation plans will be written annually by the classroom teachers and parents. These plans will be completed upon the arrival of the results of the Benchmarks. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
This entire plan to improve literacy skills will be reviewed and revised annually by the literacy committee. This evaluation will be used to determine the best use of the next school year's federal, state, and local funds in order to maximize increased student achievement and improvement of instruction. Benchmark and ITBS data will be analyzed to determine which grade levels need more practice on open-reponse items. On the 2012 FPI teacher ratings, Salem Elementary teachers rated literacy open-response instruction as a 4.7 out of 5, with 100% of the staff using open-response items in their instruction at least on a daily/weekly basis. Action Type: Program Evaluation Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Central Office District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
The district will purchase instructional materials to enhance teacher delivery and instruction as students prepare for open response questions. This will include practice writing, organizational methods, and creative projects.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Performance AssessmentsTeaching Aids	Title I - Materials \$13000.00 & Supplies:

9 of 27

Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Title I Schoolwide		ACTION BUDGET:	\$13000
Total Budget:			\$13000

Intervention: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT in the elementary school.

Scientific Based Research: Emma McDonald (2005). Developing Positive Parent Partnerships, 1-4. Diane Debrovner (August, 2004). Parents: Get Set for School, 144-152. Kathleen Cotton & Karen Reed Wikelund (1989). Parent Involvement in Education, 1-17.

Involvement in Education, 1-17.						
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds		
The elementary school will have a family night or open house to meet the parents and to discuss reading programs and instruction, as well as developmentally appropriate activities that parents can do in the home to help their child be successful. The technology coordinator will offer other opportunities to parents to attend trainings on school district software related to online grades, AR records, lunch balances, Kindergarten will also host a Parent/Literacy Night. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$		
Parent Involvement Meetings for providing information to parents will be held throughout the year by school personnel. Status of the school and student achievement are examples of topics of discussion at these meetings. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$		
A newsletter (Little Hound Herald) will be sent home on a monthly basis to keep parents informed about student events, student performance, and other essential information parents will need to know throughout the year. Extra copies will be available at the Parent Center. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff	ACTION BUDGET: \$		
COLLABORATION Parents and community members will be encouraged to participate in school activities. Reading to students and participating in art/music activities are just a few examples of volunteer actions. Volunteer applications are available in the parent center. Members of the community will also be encouraged to participate in school activities. For example: inviting local policemen, military personnel, or businessmen in to do presentations for the students. Salem Elementary will implement effective parental involvement which would include the following: (1) joint collaboration with parents, community stakeholders, teachers, etc.; (2) support for schools to develop policies/programs to improve student achievement; (3) parental involvement strategies for public/private preschool programs; (4) annual assessments of the effectiveness of Parental Involvemnt Programs; (5) the six components to build parental capacity	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff Community Leaders District Staff Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$		

(A) Provide assistance to parents in understanding content how to monitor a child's progress; (B) Provide materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve academic achievement; (C) Educate teachers, principals, and other staff in the importance of effective communication and the value of the contributions of parents; (D) Coordinate and integrate parent involvement programs and activities; (E) Ensure that information related to school and parent programs is sent to parents in language that parents can understand; (F) Provide other reasonable support that parents may request. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Carov	Stort		
A parent center will be set up the elementary office which shall provide informational packets, as well as numerous other materials to be available to parents and community members as required by Act 307 of 2007 and Act 397 of 2009. The following are examples of some of the items: magazines and informative materials related to parenting skills; tips for parents concerning success for their children at school; volunteer applications; and copies of the latest newsletters. The Parent/Volunteer Resource Book and Log will also be located in the office. Training opportunities will be provided to volunteers as needed, depending on the voluneer's location and responsibilities. An area in the library has been provided for parent book selections. The parent center will be maintained by the facilitator, Corey Johnson. The parent facilitator will assist and support the developemnt of any parent organization, such as PTA/PTO. Action Type: Parental Engagement	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff	ACTION BUDGET: \$
The local newspaper and cable tv company will be used as information sources for the public concerning school events and achievements. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement	Ken Rich	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffCentral Office	ACTION BUDGET: \$
A Grandparent's Breakfast will be held each year. Grandparents, parents, and other family members can eat for free. Afterward, they will have an opportunity to meet with the teachers and staff. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement	Vicky Rossitto	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Central Office District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
There will be two parent/teacher conferences held each school year - one at the end of the 1st quarter and one at the end of the 3rd quarter. Parents that do not attend will be contacted by letter or phone or email. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Ken Rich	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$

At the end of each quarter, a Renaissance Award Program will be held for parents and family members. It will be held during school hours so that all students will be able to participate. Students will be recognized for their academic achievements during the quarter. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffDistrict StaffTeachers	Title I - Materials \$5000.00 Supplies: ACTION BUDGET: \$5000
At the end of each school year, the kindergarten teachers and students will host a Parent Appreciation Breakfast. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement	Vicky Rossitto	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	District StaffTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
All parents who attend the parent/teacher conferences will be recognized in the local newspaper at the end of the school year for their contributions to their child's success in school. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Ken Rich	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffCentral Office	ACTION BUDGET: \$
NEEDS ASSESSMENT Each school year, the teachers and administration will assess the success of the parental involvement program and make any changes necessary to encourage future participation. Random parent surveys will be sent home each year to gather data from the parent perspective. Results will be tabulated and distributed to the staff members at the beginning of each school year. 2010-2011 survey results were shared with teachers during the summer inservice. Results were very positive. Parent/Teahcer Conference attendance rates will also be monitored this year and future years. On the 2012 (FPI) Federal Programs Inventory, teachers rated the following parental involvement activities using a 1-5 scale as follows: Open House4.8; Grandparent's Breakfast4.7; PT Conferences4.6; Renaissance Programs4.4; and parent volunteers3.1. Action Type: Program Evaluation Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Central Office Community Leaders Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
A Parent/Student/Teacher/Principal Compact will be distributed in the student handbooks each year. A list of recommendations are provided for each involved party to ensure a successful educational experience. The compact is signed by all of the involved parties and filed in the principal's office each year. The compact shall include the following: Salem Elementary will implement effective parental involvement which would include the six components to build parental capacity (A) Provide assistance to parents in understanding content how to monitor a child's progress; (B) Provide materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve academic achievement; (C) Educate teachers, principals, and other staff in the importance of effective communication and the value of the contributions of parents; (D) Coordinate and integrate parent involvement programs	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Teachers 	ACTION BUDGET: \$

and activities; (E) Ensure that information related to school and parent programs is sent to parents in language that parents can understand; (F) Provide other reasonable support that parents may request. Parent grievance procedures are also provided in the hand book. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide The school purchased Pinnacle for all	Ken Rich	Start:		Title
teachers to keep electronic grades. Grades will be automatically updated, so parents will be able to check on their child's progress at any time on-line. A computer is available for parents' use in the parent center for parents who do not have a computer at home. Yearly tech support fees will be paid for the program. Fees for AlertNow will also be paid. AlertNow is a phone system that allows the district to communicate with parents using a mass call. Teachers will continue to use email to communicate with parents. The district will purchase items necessary to maintain the integrity of the email system. Homework/Communication folders will be purchased each year for students so parents will be able to locate homework and graded assignments easily each night. Each grade level will have a different color folder. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Ken Rich	O7/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Computers District Staff Teachers 	Title I - Purchased \$2200.00 Services: Title I - Materials & \$1000.00 Supplies: ACTION BUDGET: \$3200
TRANSITION Each year, Salem Elementary will conduct a kindergarten registration and screening. The following agencies will be included in the transition process in addition to school personnel: NAESC, Early Horizons, and Salem Head Start. Parents will be encouraged to attend the meetings and will be given kits by the kindergarten teachers to help the children and parents prepare for starting kindergarten. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		ACTION BUDGET: \$
The school will provide at least two hours of PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT each year related to parental involvement to teachers by providing meaningful training to encourage and develop relationships with parents. Adminstrators will receive at least three hours of professional development in parental involvement at the local educational cooperative. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Students will record radio spots throughout the school year to keep parents informed on the following: school events, academic achievement, academic programs, classroom activities, etc.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		Title I - Purchased \$500.00 Services:
Action Type: Parental Engagement				ACTION \$500
Total Budget:				\$8700

Intervention: REMEDIATION Afterschool Tu	toring Progra	am.					
Scientific Based Research: Gil G. Norm (200			: A New Ally f	for Educat	tion Refor	m, 1-3.	
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources		Source o	f Funds	
The instruction provided to the student will include interactions with the teacher and with computer software. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teach	ers	ACTION	BUDGET:	\$
At the end of each school year, the tutoring program will be evaluated by the staff to determine strengths and weaknesses, and to recommend any changes. Remediation rates, as well as, students repeating remediation will be looked at each year. Benchmark scores will be used to see if any growth occured for those participating in the tutoring program. In 2007-2008, tutoring students on average increased their scale scores by 37 points. In 2008-2009, students in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 83 points. In 2009-2010, students in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 98 points. In 2010-2011, students in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 122 points. In 2011-2012, students in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 145 points. On the 2012 FPI teacher rating scale, Salem Elementary teachers rated after school tutoring as a 4.7 out of 5 in terms of effetiveness. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Admir Staff Centra Distric Public	ct Staff	ACTION	BUDGET:	\$
Remediation/tutoring will be offered to all students. The school will target new students who may be behind or struggling with the new curriculum. Remediation/tutoring may occur after school or during summer months. Participating teachers will be paid \$30 per hour.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		l Library	Title I - Employe Salaries Title I - Employe Benefits ACTION BUDGET	ee \$5879 :	9.32
REMEDIATION sessions will be conducted each week among all students in all grade levels. At a minimum of one session a week, students will be receiving intruction based upon previos test data and AIP's.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013			BUDGET:		\$
Student critical thinking wheels will be used in grades 2-6 to develop and improve questioning and thinking skills. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Asses	sments	ACTION	BUDGET:	\$
Total Budget:						\$2687	9.32
Intervention: The Orchard software will be u	used in grade	es K-6.					
Scientific Based Research: Effect of Comput Meta-Analysis. Soe, K., Koki, S., and Chang			CAI) on Readir	ng Achiev	ement: A		
Actions		Person Responsible	Timeline	Resource	S	Source of Funds	
Orchard software will be used to facilitate li instruction in grades K-6. Action Type: Technology Inclusion		Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End:		omputers strict		

		06/30/2013	Staff • Teachers	ACTION BUDGET:	\$
Classroom teachers will be able to generate individual literacy assignments for students on the computers. The program will be installed on every computer for all students to have access. Touchscreens were added in the special education classrooms to enable any student with physical problems to use the program. Action Type: Equity Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET:	\$
The software will also be used to tutor students requiring remediation in literacy skills. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET:	\$
Total Budget:					\$0

Intervention: Study Island will be purchased and used in grades K-6.

Scientific Based Research: Magnolia Consulting, July 15, 2008. Study Island Scientific Research Base, pp. 1-17. Educational Leadership, Vol. 63, Num. 3, pp. 19-24, November, 2005. Classroom Assessment: Minute by Minute, Day by Day.

<u> </u>				
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Study Island has been purchased for grades K-6 to provide supplemental instruction in literacy during classroom instruction and after school tutoring. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Computers	ACTION \$
The effectiveness of the Study Island software will be based upon the amount of growth students experience using pre and posttests provided by the program itself. The program will also be measured by the amount of growth experienced by students in after school tutoring who are using Study Island. This growth will be based upon Benchmark and ITBS scale scores. 2012 teacher rating for the program wasa 4.6 out of 5, with 75% of teachers using the program on a daily/weekly basis. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Classroom Response system has been purchased to enhance the effectiveness of the Study Island program. The response system will provide classroom teachers with instant assessment on Student Learner Expectations (Arkansas Frameworks). Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Program Evaluation Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Computers Performance Assessments Teaching Aids 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0

Intervention: Interactive Whiteboards will be used in all grades.

Scientific Based Research: Graetz, K. (2006). The psychology of learning environments. In Diane G. Oblinger, Ed., Learning Spaces. Boulder, CO: 2006. 6.1–6.14. Milne, A. J. (2007). Entering the Interaction Age: Implementing a future vision for campus learning spaces... today. Educause Review, January/February 2007, page 22.

Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
The district will use Interactive Whiteboards in all grades (classrooms) to increase student engagemnent, motivation, and enhance student understanding. The use of Interactive Whiteboards will allow teachers to accommodate a variety of learning styles. Action Type: Technology Inclusion		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	ACTION BUDGET: \$

Action Type: Title I Schoolwide				
The district will purchase 27 Laptop computers to use in coordination with the Interactive Whiteboards. This will allow teachers and students to use the Interactive Whiteboards effectively. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Title I - Materials & Supplies: ACTION BUDGET:	\$21600.00
The district will purchase 23 Apple TVs, converters, and cabling. This device will allow teachers and students the opportunity to display iPad screen on the Interactive Whiteboard. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Title I - Materials & Supplies:	\$4000.00
Total Budget:			BUDGET:	\$25600
				1

Intervention: Education City will be purchased and used in grades K-6.

Scientific Based Research: Case Study Research Summary of EducationCity.com in California Magnolia Consulting, LLC November 17, 2009

LLC November 17, 2009				
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Education City will be purchased for grades K-6 to provide supplemental instruction in literacy during classroom instruction and after school tutoring.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	Title I - Purchased \$3000.00 Services:
Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide				ACTION \$3000
The effectiveness of the Education City software will be based upon the amount of growth students experience using pre and posttests provided by the program itself. The program will also be measured by the amount of growth experienced by students in after school tutoring who are using Education City. This growth will be based upon Benchmark and ITBS scale scores. Education City works with the Study Island software, so teachers will evaluate using assessment from Study Island. Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Computers Teaching Aids 	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Classroom Response system will be purchased to enhance the effectiveness of the Education City program. The response system will provide classroom teachers with instant assessment on Student Learner Expectations (Arkansas Frameworks). Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Total Budget:				\$3000

Intervention: Literacy DVD's will be purchased and used in all classrooms.

Scientific Based Research: •Marzano, R. J. (with Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. J.). (2003) Classroom Management that Works. Alexandria, VA: ASCD. •Hall, T., Strangman, N., & Meyer, A. (2003). Differentiated Instruction and Implications for UDL Implementation, Retrieved 10/13/05 from http://www.k8accesscenter.org/training_resources/udl/diffinstruction.asp

IACTIONS	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Literacy DVD's will be purchased and used in all classrooms to help differentiate the instruction. Action Type: Technology Inclusion		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	School Library	Title I - Materials \$1000.00 & Supplies:

		ACTION BUDGET:	\$1000
Total Budget:			\$1000

Priority 2: All students will improve math skills.

- 1. 2012 MATHEMATICS DATA FOR SALEM ELEMENTARY INDICATES THAT THE MEASUREMENT & DATA ANALYSIS AND PROBABILITY STRANDS WERE THE WEAKEST AREAS ON THE MULTIPLE-CHOICE ITEMS AND GEOMETRY AND DATA ANALYSIS AND PROBABILITY ON THE OPEN-RESONSE ITEMS FOR BOTH THE COMBINED POPULATION AND STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES. TEACHERS WILL BE DISCUSSING CURRICULUM ADJUSTMENTS AND ANY POSSIBLE SUPPLIMENTAL MATERIALS THAT MAY BE NEEDED TO ADDRESS THESE WEAKNESSES DURING GRADE LEVEL MEETINGS THROUGHOUT THE SCHOOL YEAR. In 2010, 92% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 88% of the low socioeconomic students, 91% of the Caucasian students, and 50% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Geometry multiplechoice and the Geometry open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis & Probability multiple-choice and the Number and Operations open-response. In 2011, 92% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 86% of the low socioeconomic students, 91% of the Caucasian students, and 66% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Numbers & Operations multiple-choice and the Geometry open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis & Probability multiple-choice and the Number and Operations open-response. In 2012, 96% of the combined population of 3rd grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 93% of the low socioeconomic students, 96% of the Caucasian students, and 77% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Numbers and Operations open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis & Probability multiple-choice and the Number and Operations open-response.
- 2. In 2010, 93% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 90% of the low socioeconomic students, 98% of the Caucasian students, and 40% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Geometry multiple-choice and the Number & Operations open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response. In 2011, 89% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 83% of the low socioeconomic students, 88% of the Caucasian students, and 43% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Number & Operations open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response. In 2012, 94% of the combined population of 4th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 91% of the low socioeconomic students, 96% of the Caucasian students, and 71% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response.
- In 2010, 96% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 96% of the low socioeconomic students, 96% of the Caucasian students, and 100% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Geometry open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Meaurement multiple-choice and the Data Analysis and Probability open-response. In 2011, 100% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 100% of the low socioeconomic students, 100% of the Caucasian students, and 100% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Algebra multiplechoice and the Numbers & Operations open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Meaurement multiple-choice and the Data Analysis and Probability open-response. In 2012, 94% of the combined population of 5th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 91% of the low socioeconomic students, 94% of the Caucasian students, and 83% of the students with disabilities scored

Supporting Data:

- proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Data Analysis and Probability multiple-choice and the Geometry open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Meaurement multiple-choice and the Data Analysis and Probability open-response.
- 4. In 2010, 80% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 74% of the low socioeconomic students, 80% of the Caucasian students, and 25% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Numbers and Operations multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis and Probability multiple-choice and the Algebra and Geometry open-response. In 2011, 96% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 94% of the low socioeconomic students, 96% of the Caucasian students, and 86% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Numbers & Operations open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis and Probability multiple-choice and the Algebra and Geometry open-response. In 2012, 93% of the combined population of 6th grade students scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics portion of the Benchmark exams. 93% of the low socioeconomic students, 95% of the Caucasian students, and 58% of the students with disabilities scored proficient or advanced. There were no other measurable subgroups. The lowest identified areas for the combined population were the Measurement multiple-choice and the Measurement open-response. The lowest areas for the students with disabilities were the Data Analysis and Probability multiple-choice and the Algebra and Geometry open-response.
- 5. In 2010, 80% of the combined population of kindergarten students scored at/above the 50th percentile on the MAT 8 Math. 79% of the Caucasian students, 74% of the economically disadvantaged students, and 67% of the students with disabilities scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Data and Probability cluster. In 2011, 80% of the combined population of kindergarten students scored at/above the 50th percentile on the MAT 8 Math. 79% of the Caucasian students, 74% of the economically disadvantaged students, and 67% of the students with disabilities scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Data and Probability cluster. In 2012, Kindergarten did not test.
- 6. In 2010, 67% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the SAT 10. 65% of the Caucasian students, 47% of the students with IEP's, and 58% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Estimation cluster. In 2011, 67% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the SAT 10. 65% of the Caucasian students, 47% of the students with IEP's, and 58% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Estimation cluster. In 2012, 64.2% of the combined population of 1st grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the ITBS. 63.8% of the Caucasian students, 0% of the students with IEP's, and 55.0% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Estimation cluster.
- 7. In 2010, 84% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the SAT 10. 84% of the Caucasian students, 40% of the students with IEP's, and 78% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Measurement cluster. In 2011, 84% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the SAT 10. 84% of the Caucasian students, 40% of the students with IEP's, and 78% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Measurement cluster. In 2012, 72.7% of the combined population of 2nd grade students scored at/above the 50th percentile in Math Problem Solving on the ITBS. 69.4% of the Caucasian students, 0% of the students with IEP's, and 64.9% of the Free/Reduced students scored at/above the 50th percentile. The lowest area was the Measurement cluster.

Goal

All students will improve mathematic skills in the area of Measurement, on both multiple-choice and open-response items; all students will improve skills in problem solving in all areas of mathematics.

To meet the state AYP requirement annually as required by the state with a goal to increase the total number of students scoring proficient/advanced by 1/2%. 2007-2010 Combined Population: 92.4 African American: NA Hispanic; NA Caucasian: 92.8 Econ. Dis.: 99.8 LEP: NA Stud. Dis.: NA 2008, 2011

Benchmark

American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: 92.8 Econ. Dis.: 89.8 LEP: NA Stud. Dis.: NA 2008-2011 Combined Population: 94.2 African American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: 94.4 Econ. Dis.: 91.3 LEP: NA Stud. Dis.: NA 2009-2012 Combined Population: African American: NA Hispanic: NA Caucasian: Econ. Dis.: LEP: NA Stud. Dis.: NA

Intervention: ALIGNMENT Align math curriculum to the Arkansas Frameworks.						
Scientific Based Research: Heidi Hayes Jacobs (2004). Getting Results with Curriculum Mapping, 1-181. Heidi Hayes Jacobs (1997). Mapping the Big Picture, 1-5.						
Metione	Person Responsible	Timeline	IRASOURCAS	Source of Funds		

I				
Teachers will be provided opportunities to receive staff development in the mapping and alignment process. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Professional Development	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Outside Consultants	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Each teacher will develop a curriculum map for mathematics. Skills being taught throughout the school year will be identified and recorded a skills checklist. Teachers will work together during grade level meetings (horizontal meetings) to compare methods and the timeline. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Special Education teachers and regular classroom teachers will work together to align math curriculum for appropriate modifications in the special education classroom. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Patty Neal and Judy Rose	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Vertical meetings will be held that include all teachers to discuss the mapping process, methods and materials being used to teach skills, and the timeline the skills are being taught. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Each year, the progress of the alignment process will be assessed by the administration. Future actions will be based upon that assessment. Test score data from the Benchmarks and the ITBS tests will also be examined each year to identify weaknesses in the curricululm. Common planning periods will also allow grade levels to monitor and adjust curriculum on a daily basis. 2012 results from the Teacher Surveys on the Federal Programs Inventory (FPI)indicate that 100% of the staff surveyed felt our math curriculum was properly aligned. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0
Intervention: Star Math.			<u>'</u>	
Scientific Based Research: Renaissance Learning In	r (2002) Dif	ferentiating M	ath Instruction 1-20	

Intervention: Star Math.				
Scientific Based Research: Renaissance Learning, Inc.	(2002). Diffe	erentiating Ma	ath Instruction, 1-29.	
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Tests will be administered during the school year to identify student growth in mathematics using the Star Math program. A pretest will be given during the first quarter. A posttest will be given in April. Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersDistrict StaffTeachers	ACTION \$
Teachers will evaluate the usefulness and effectiveness of frequent Star Math assessments in determining student growth in mathematics. Pre and posttests will be given each year. Math data from the Benchmarks and the ITBS tests will also be used to compare results. Tech support will be purchased each year for Star Math. According to Star Math data for the 2006-2007, our students gained an average of 16.3 percentile points and 2.5 in grade equivalency. In 2007-2008, our students gained an average of 9.3 percentile points and 1.4 in grade equivalency. In 2008-2009, our students gained an		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$

average of 22 percentile points and 2.4 in grade equivalency. In 2009-2010, our students gained an average of 25 percentile points and 2.06 in grade equivalency. In 2010-2011, our students gained an average of 24 percentile points and 2.04 in grade equivalency. 100% of the classroom teachers in grades 3-6 use the Star Math for an assessment instrument, including the two resource classrooms. In 2011-2012, our students gained an average of 27 percentile points and 2.56 in grade equivalency. 100% of the classroom teachers in grades 3-6 use the Star Math for an assessment instrument, including the two resource classrooms. FPI results from the teachers rate the STAR MATH program a 4.1 out 5, with 75% of the teachers using the program at least on a quarterly basis. Concerns were related to technology access. Action Type: Program Evaluation			
During parent/teacher conferences, the test data will be shared with parents to chart student growth in mathematics. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeachers	ACTION \$ BUDGET:
Total Budget:			\$0

Intervention: Classroom Size Reduction.

Scientific Based Research: American Educational Research Association (Fall, 2003). Class Size: Counting Students Can Count, 1-4. Glen E. Robinson (1990, April). Synthesis of Research on Effects of Class Size. Educational Leadership, 80-90.

Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
The impact of CSR on mathematics skills in the elementary school will be closely monitored by the teachers and administration. Test scores and retention rates will be two of the indicators that will be assessed each year. K MAT 8, 1-2 ITBS, and 3-6 Benchmark scores will be the test data analyzed each year, depending upon the placement of the teachers. As of 2009, Title I funds will no longer be used for classroom reduction. However, on the 2012 FPI (Federal Programs Inventory), Salem teachers rated classroom reduction as a 4.6 out of 5 in terms of importance for our educational program and success. 2-A funds will still be used as long as permissible. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Students will be placed in smaller class sizes in grades K-6 in order to improve instruction in mathematics.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Administrative Staff	ACTION \$
The grade level placement of the CSR teacher/teachers will depend upon the enrollment at the beginning of the school year. Every effort will be made to use data from various sources to divide classrooms fairly. Action Type: Equity	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
HIGHLY QUALIFIED All teachers hired and on staff will be highly qualified and certified in the fields in which they are teaching. Newspapers and online postings will be used to fill vacancies with highly qualified applicants. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Ken Rich	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff Central Office	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0

Intervention: To improve instruction in mathematics with emphasis on open-response questions in math for all students.

Scientific Based Research: Doug Reeves (2004). According Standards Work, 33-40.	untability in A	Action, 185-2	08. Doug Reeves (199	3). Making
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Teachers will receive training at the educational service center regarding Benchmark scoring, rubric development and development of math questions. The six hours of professional development in technology will also be provided by the educational service center. Action Type: Professional Development	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Outside Consultants Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
The entire plan to improve mathematics skills will be reviewed and revised on an annual basis, based on the data from all of the student population. This evaluation will be used to determine the best use of the next school year's federal, state, and local funds in order to maximize increased student achievement and improvement of instruction. The effectiveness of open-response instruction will also be evalutated each year based upon student achievement on open-response items on the Benchmark exams. In 2011, 3rd grade students scored above the state average on open response questions, 4th grade students scored above the state average on 4 of 5 categories, 5th grade students scored above the state average on all categories, and 6th grade students scored above the state average on 3 of 5 categories. In 2012, 3rd grade students scored above the state average on all categories, 5th grade students scored above the state average on all categories, 5th grade students scored above the state average on all categories, and 6th grade students scored above the state average on all categories. 2012 FPI results from the teachers rate the importance of open-response as a 4.7 out of 5, with 100% of the staff implementing open-response items on a daily/weekly basis. Action Type: Program Evaluation Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Parents will be informed about instruction methods and testing procedures during the annual public meeting, parent/teacher conferences, Grandparent's Breakfast/Open House, and newsletters Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION \$
REMEDIATION Students identified to be below grade level in mathematics will receive remediation by the regular classroom teachers at appropriate times. Special Education teachers will provide input for their students who need remediation. Remediation plans will be written annually by the classroom teachers, and they will be based upon the most current data available. Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Teachers will regularly collect and assess student work, evaluate progress, and adjust instruction as needed. Teachers will also have the option to have virtual field trips to strengthen math concepts and allow studentst to see the value of math in the real world. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Total Budget:				\$0
Intervention: REMEDIATION Afterschool Tutoring Programme (2004)	gram.			1.0

Scientific Based Research: Gil G. Norm (2004). Afterschool Education: A New Ally for Education Reform, 1-3.

Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
An afterschool remediation/tutoring program will be offered to eligible students. Remediation/Tutoring will be offered throughout the school year and during summer months. Students will receive small group instruction in various areas of mathematics based upon teacher recommendations and/or remediation plans.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffComputersTeachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
The instruction provided to the student will include interactions with the teacher, as well as with computer software (Orchard or Study Island). Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff Computers Teachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
At the end of each school year, the tutoring program will be evaluated by the staff to determine strengths and weaknesses. Recommendations for changes will be made at that time. Data from Benchmark results will be analyzed each year to determine growth of students involved in the program. In 2009-2010, students participating in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 97 points. In 2010-2011, students participating in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 124 points. In 2011-2012, students participating in the tutoring program increased their scale scores by an average of 157 points. 2012 FPI results from the teachers rate after school tutoring as a 4.4 out of 5 in terms of importance to our educational program. Concerns include not enough time per student and the number of students participating. Action Type: Program Evaluation		Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Remediation will be offered to all students each week, especially targeting new students struggling with the curriculum.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION \$
REMEDIATION Remediation sessions will occur every week in all grade levels. Grade level teachers will work together and use test score data and AIP's to direct instruction.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION \$
The Buckle Down benchmark review program will used to supplement instruction and be used as a remediation tool for math. Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Performance AssessmentsTeaching Aids	ACTION \$
Total Budget:				\$0
Intervention: Orchard software will be used K-6 to fa	cilitate math	instruction.		
Scientific Based Research: Improving Mastery of Bas Learning Techniques. Haught, L., Kunce, C., Pratt, P.,				gh Various
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Orchard software will be implementd K-6 in the elementary school.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Computers District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Classroom teachers will be able to generate individual math assignments for students on the computers. The program will be installed on every computer for all students to have access. Touchscreens were added to the special education	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:

classrooms to enable students with pysical problems to use the program. Action Type: Equity Action Type: Special Education Action Type: Technology Inclusion The software will also be used to tutor students requiring remediation in mathematics.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012	Administrative	
Action Type: Technology Inclusion	301113011	End: 06/30/2013	Staff • Computers • Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Each year, the program will be evaluated to determine any necessary changes to be made. Consideration of additional learning trees will also be made. Pre and posttest data will be used to determine student growth. These results will also be compared to Benchmark and ITBS results. Results from the 2012 teacher survey (FPI) rated Orchard Math as only a 2.7 out of 5. 44% of the teachers reported never using the program all year. Many reported concerns about the difficulty of using the program in the time frame given in the lab. No further investment will be planned in the future due to the lack of confidence shown by the staff. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0
Intervention: Study Island will be purchased and use	ed in grades k	ζ-6.		
Scientific Based Research: Magnolia Consulting, July Educational Leadership, Vol. 63, Num. 3, pp. 19-24, Day by Day.	November, 20			by Minute,
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Study Island will be purchased as new programs become available to provide supplemental insturction in mathematics during classroom instruction and after school tutoring.	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013		ACTION \$
The effectiveness of the Study Island software will be based upon the amount of growth students experience using pre and posttests provided by the program itself. The program will also be measured by the amount of growth experienced by students in after school tutoring who are using Study Island. This growth will be based upon Benchmark and ITBS scale scores. Pre and post test data indicated an average growth of 21% in math and 14% in reading. In 2012, teachers rated Study Island Math as a 4.5 out 5. 75% of the staff used the program on a daily/weekly basis. 2009 was the first year of implementation. Action Type: Program Evaluation	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff District Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Classroom Response system will be purchased to enhance the effectiveness of the Study Island program. The response system will provide classroom teachers with instant assessment on Student Learner Expectations (Arkansas Frameworks). Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Technology Inclusion	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0
Intervention: Education City will be purchased and u	sed in grades	K-6.		
Scientific Based Research: Case Study Research Sum LLC November 17, 2009			n in California Magnolia	a Consulting,
Actions	Person Responsi	ble Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds

The effectiveness of the Education City software will be based upon the amount of growth students experience using pre and posttests provided by the program itself. The program will also be measured by the amount of growth experienced by students in after school tutoring who are using Education City. This growth will be based upon Benchmark and ITBS scale scores. Education City works with the Study Island software, so teachers will evaluate using assessment from Study Island. In 2012, teachers rated Education City Math as a 4.4 out 5. 75% of the staff used the program on a daily/weekly basis. 2010 was the first year of implementation. Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Computers Teaching Aids 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Classroom Response system will be purchased to enhance the effectiveness of the Education City program. The response system will provide classroom teachers with instant assessment on Student Learner Expectations (Arkansas Frameworks). Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	ACTION \$ BUDGET:
Education City will be purchased for grades K-6 to provide supplemental instruction in literacy during classroom instruction and after school tutoring. Action Type: Technology Inclusion Action Type: Title I Schoolwide	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	ComputersTeaching Aids	ACTION \$ BUDGET:
Total Budget:				\$0

Priority 3: It is a priority of the Salem Elementary School to provide an education to all students concerning healthy lifestyle choices.

- 1. In 2006-2007, 632 students had their BMI's assessed. Of the students assessed, the following represents the percent of students at risk of being overweight or overweight: District: Males-42.6% Females-36.5% Elementary: Males-37.5% Females-28.9% High School: Males-50% Females-48.3% In 2007-2008, students had their BMI's assessed. Of the students assessed the following represents the percent of students at risk of being overweight or overweight. District: Males-43% Females-40% Elementary: Males-33% Females-31% High School: Males-53% Females-48% In 2008-2009, students had their BMI's assessed. Of the students assessed the following represents the percent of students overweight or obese. District: Males-45% Females-39.5% Elementary: Males-44.9% Females-37.5% High School: Males-45.1% Females-41.5% In 2010-2011, students had their BMI's assessed. Of the students assessed the following represents the percent of students overweight or obese. Elementary: Males-40.3% Females-23.0% In 2011-2012, students had their BMI's assessed. Of the students assessed the following represents the percent of students overweight or obese. Elementary: Males-37.4% Females-24.3%
- 2. 2006-2007 School Health Index Elementary: Module 1-97% Module 2-88% Module 3-100% Module 4-95% Module 8-67% 2008 School Health Index Elementary: Module 1-96% Module 2-97% Module 3-92% Module 4-95% Module 8-72% 2009 School Health Index Elementary: Module 1-89% Module 2-95% Module 3-92% Module 4-76% Module 8-90% 2011 School Health Index Elementary: Module 1-90% Module 2-96% Module 3-93% Module 4-78% Module 8-92% 2012 School Health Index Elementary: Module 1-91% Module 2-92% Module 3-93% Module 4-79% Module 8-90%
- 3. Free and Reduced Price Meal Eligibility SY 11-12 District- 34% paid, 10% reduced, 56% free; Migrant-2 Homeless-5 Free and Reduced Price Meal Eligibility SY 10-11 District- 39% paid, 10% reduced, 55% free; Migrant-4 Homeless-3 Free and Reduced Price Meal Eligibility SY 09-10 District- 39% paid, 10% reduced, 51% free; Elementary- 35% paid, 8% reduced, 57% free; High School- 42% paid, 13% reduced, 45% free. Migrant-4 Homeless-0 Free and Reduced Price Meal Eligibility SY 08-09 District- 40% paid, 10% reduced, 51% free; Elementary- 37% paid, 10% reduced, 53% free; High School- 42% paid, 10% reduced, 48% free. Migrant-2 Homeless-0 Free and Reduced Price Meal Eligibility SY 07-08 District- 44% paid, 9% reduced, 47% free; Elementary- 37% paid, 9% reduced, 54% free; High School- 51% paid, 9% reduced, 40% free. Migrant-11 Homeless-3
- 4. 2006-2007 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: According to the 2006 data, Salem 6th grade students exceed the state averages in alcohol, cigarettes, and chewing tobacco. 2007-2008 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: Data for the 2007 school year indicates 20% of Salem 6th grade students used Alcohol, which is a decrease from 23.7% for the 2006 school year. 12.2% used cigarettes, which is a decrease from 15.8% for the 2006 school year. 10% used chewing tobacco, which is a decrease from 28.9% for the 2006 school year. 2008-2009 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: The surveys were given in the sixth grade, but less than the required number of students participated

Supporting Data:

to get individual school results. 2009-2010 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: According to the 2009 data, Salem 6th grade students exceed the state averages in alcohol, cigarettes, and chewing tobacco. 2010-2011 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: According to the 2010 data, Salem 6th grade students exceed the state averages in alcohol, cigarettes, and chewing tobacco. 2011-2012 Youth Risk Behavior Survey: According to the 2010 data, Salem 6th grade students exceed the state averages in alcohol, cigarettes, and chewing tobacco.

The district will provide educational opportunities for students in making healthy lifestyle choices by Goal implementing activities to aid in decreasing the average BMI on the annual student screening.

By the 2012-2013 school year, there will be a decrease of the average BMI for students in the Salem Benchmark School District by 1/4% as evaluated by the 2011-2012 results of the annual BMI screening.

Intervention: Salem Elementary School will provide opportunities for students to practice healthy behaviors at school and encourage them to make healthy food choices and educate them concerning life-long physical activities which will result in higher academic achievement and a healthier life.

Scientific Based Research: Pediatrics, Vol. 117 No. 5, pp. 1834-1842. 2006. Active Healthy Living: Prevention of Childhood Obesity Through Increased Physical Activity. Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness & Council on School

Health.	1			
Actions	Person Responsible	Timeline	Resources	Source of Funds
Salem Elementary School will facilitate the alignment and implementation of the Arkansas Nutrition and Physical Education and Physical Activity Standards and Arkansas Curriculum Frameworks. Opportunities for grade level meetings and curriculum meetings will be given to review framework changes and any changes in the health curriculum. Action Type: Alignment Action Type: Wellness	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Teachers 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Staff development regarding physical fitness and nutrition will be held for all elementary teachers. Action Type: Professional Development Action Type: Wellness	Melinda Gray	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	District Staff Teachers	ACTION \$
The Nutrition and Physical Activity Committee will regulary monitor the goals of the wellness plan and evaluate the effectiveness of the elementary activities in place by reviewing data results from the School Health Index, the BMI, and the Youth Risk Survey. For 2011, results of the School Health Index were relatively the same as previous years. BMI percentages were down for boys by 14.9% and down 7.8% for the girls. Youth Risk Survey results were not received due to limited number of participants. 2012 Teacher Surveys (FPI) rated the physical activity/wellness activities as follows using a 1-5 scale: PE4.7; Recess(Physical Activity Period)4.5; Body Walk4.4; Health Curriculum4.1; and Activities with Malinda Coffman4.3. Action Type: Program Evaluation Action Type: Wellness	Ken Rich	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative Staff Community Leaders Teachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
The elementary school will participate in the Body Walk on a two-year cycle. 2012-2013 will be the next school year. Students will walk through a tent structure that resembles the organ systems of the human body. Community members provide brief talks at each body organ station. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Wellness	Melinda Coffman	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Community Leaders	ACTION \$
All grade levels in the elementary school will have the opportunity to implement the Take 10 health curriculum. Teachers and students will dedicate 10 minutes a day to physical activity and health activities. A survey will be sent home at the end of the semester to parents to assess the program's	Melinda Gray	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Teachers	ACTION \$BUDGET:

1/16/2013 3:09 PM 25 of 27

effectiveness. Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Wellness				
Salem Elementary School will exceed the PE and physical activity requirements by providing recess, PE classes, and numerous activities to all students throughout the school day. Action Type: Wellness	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	Administrative StaffTeachers	ACTION BUDGET: \$
Salem Elementary will support physical fitness activities outside of the school day by providing parents with information and by providing host sites for activities to occur. These activities include: intramural and pee wee basketball, mighty-mite football, summer baseball, cheerleading, 4-H, and scouting activities. Action Type: Collaboration Action Type: Parental Engagement Action Type: Wellness	Corey Johnson	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	 Administrative Staff Community Leaders 	ACTION \$BUDGET:
Elementary students, K-6, will be participating in PE activities related to the Presidential Fitness standards. Action Type: Wellness	Bob Maguffee	Start: 07/01/2012 End: 06/30/2013	• Teachers	ACTION \$
Total Budget:				\$0

• Planning Team

Classification	Name	Position	Committee
	Gaye Passmore	Teachers Aide	Literacy
	Miranda Hurtt	1st Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Business Representative	Mike Falco	Parent	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Amy Sanders	5th Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Andrea Walling	1st Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Annette Henley	Mathematics Chairperson	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Bob Maguffee	PE Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Cassie Knight	5th Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Cathy Manes	Literacy Chairperson	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Cindy McCullough	2nd Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	David Cone	6th Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Denise Fowler	4th Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Devon Edwards	3rd Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Jacqui Walker	Music Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Judy Rose	Special Ed. Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Julie Marsh	Kindergarten Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Kara Boyd	4th Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Kristen Hyslip	2nd Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Linda DuBois	2nd Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Linda May	3rd Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Lindsey Wiseman	6th Grade Teacher	Mathematics
Classroom Teacher	Lisa Hurtt	Art Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Lynn Maguffee	5th Grade Teacher	Title I
Classroom Teacher	Melodye Aldridge	1st Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Patty Neal	Special Ed. Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Rae Lynn Simers	Kindergarten Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Tiffany Pierce	6th Grade Teacher	Literacy
Classroom Teacher	Treva Cotter	4th Grade Teacher	Literacy
Community Representative	Dena Barnett	Parent	Literacy
District-Level Professional	Anna Sutherland	Paraprofessional	Literacy
District-Level Professional	Brandi Sanderson	School Nurse	Title I
District-Level Professional	Sandy Massey	Elementary Chair	ACSIP

District-Level Professional	Vicky Rossitto	Counselor	Title I
Non-Classroom Professional Staff	Vicki Ragan	Librarian	Title I
Parent	Melanie Stone	Parent	Title I
Principal	Corey Johnson	Elementary Principal	Title I
Principal	Wayne Guiltner	High School Principal	Title I

27 of 27